

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/728,773	12/08/2003	Hans Hundegger	P69351US0	1079	
JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY			EXAMINER		
			MILLER, BENA B		
400 Seventh St Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ,			3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,773	HUNDEGGER, HANS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bena Miller	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		·
Application Papers .	•		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A Iority documents have beer Iority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks in the RCE filed 4/27/07 are duly noted.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "reminder", recited line 14, should read -- remainder --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "providing at least one of the first and the second conveying systems......by the at least two machining tools in the single work station" is confusing. Further, the phrase "machining a reminder of the plurality of the machining, operations....by the at least two machining tools" is also confusing. Line 19 recites "moving the at least two machining tools along several axes in coordination with the at least one of the first conveying system and the second conveying system to complete the plurality of machining operations"; however in line 14, recites "machining a remainder of the plurality of the machining operations on the wood piece". It is not clear whether the plurality of the machining operations on the wood piece is completed by

Art Unit: 3725

moving the at least two machining tools along several axes or the remainder (in this instance, the examiner presumes that "remainder" includes all the operations performed on the work piece until each operation is completed) of the plurality of the machining operations by the control of the positioning system. In other words, are the plurality of machining operations on the wood piece is completed by the at least two machining tools moving along several axes or by the control of the positioning system?

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the phrase "wherein the positioning system is provided for both the first and the second conveying systems in the feeding region and the discharge region, respectively" is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Wrightman (US Patent 4,938,263) or Hurn (US Patent 3,833,033).

The device of Wrightman or Hurn reads on the method of the claimed invention including the steps of providing at least two machine tools (fig. 2 or 100, respectively), providing a first and second conveying system (fig. 1 or 2 and 2a, respectively), providing a positioning system (24 or 4 3 and 4, respectively), machining a front end

Application/Control Number: 10/728,773

Art Unit: 3725

region (fig. 7 and 8 or fig. 7 or 8, respectively) and moving the at least two machining tools along several axes (fig 2-4 or fig. 7 or 8, respectively).

Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolf et al (US Patent 6,213,176).

The device of Wolf et al reads on the method of the claimed invention including the steps of providing at least two machine tools (fig. 3), providing a first and second conveying system (fig. 1), providing a positioning system (10 and 5), machining a front end region (fig. 1) and moving the at least two machining tools along several axes (fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Wrightman or Hurn or Wolf et al in view of Vartiainen (US Patent 4,441,537).

Wrightman or Hurn or Wolf teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention except for a measuring equipment connected with the positioning system. Vartiainen teaches that it is well known to provide a measuring device for aligning wood before cutting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate measuring equipment as suggested by Vartiainen in the device of Wrightman or Hurn or Wolf et al for the reasons set for above.

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Wrightman or Hurn or Wolf et al in view of Kennedy et al (US Patent 6,039,097).

Wrightman or Hurn or Wolf teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention except for recognizing and indexing the wood piece. Kennedy teaches that it is well known to collect and index wood pieces before cutting as seen in par. 4 of col. 15.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the step of collecting and indexing as suggested by Kennedy et al in the method of Wrightman or Hurm or Wolf et al for the reasons set for above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bena Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

bbm July 07, 2007